The 7th September, 1983.

No. ID/FD/290-83/46158.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Shukvir and the management of M/s H. C. M. Plating Corporation, 390/24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (l) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana, hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Shukvir was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/202-83/46161.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Lal Chhabra and the management of M/s Pelco Electronics Engineering Ltd., Plot No. 313, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matter specified below being matter in dispute or either matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ram Lal Chhabra was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/RTK/111-83/46179.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Jaibir Singh and the management of M/s Lakshmi Precision Screws Ltd. Hissar Road, Rohtak, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO-(E) Lab-70/13648, dated the 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Jaibir Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/88-83/46186.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Phekco and the management of M/s Joy. B. Industries, Plot No. 162, Sector 24, Faricatad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:

Whether the termination of services of Shri Phekoo, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/115-83/46200.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Partik Raj and the management of M/s Jotindra Steel & Tubes Ltd., 14/3, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:-

Whether the termination of services of Shri Partik Rai was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/67-83/46207.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is, of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Sudhir! Parshad and the management of M/s Indian Leather Works, 98, DLF, Industrial Estate No. I, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication;-

Whether the termination of services of Shri Sudhir Parshad was justified and in order 7 If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/YMN/229-83/46214.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Mohd. Liagat and the management of M/s Moti Ram-Hazura Mal, Bhagatpura, Jagadhri regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab 57/11245, dated 7th February. 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication :-

Whether the termination of services of Shri Mohd. Liaqat was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/YMN/75-83/46220.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Mohinder Singh and the management of Executive Engineer, Operation Division H. S. E.B., Radaur Road, Yamuna Nagar, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (l) of section of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 10th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication: -

Whether the termination of services of Shri Mohinder Singh was justified and in order'? if not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/209-83/46226.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ant Lal and the management of M/s. Ess Pee Enterprises, 65, NIT, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication; Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :-

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ant Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what

relief is he entitled?.